

Micro-Livestock and Macro-Impact with A Goat Farming Empowerment Program

by - -

Submission date: 10-Jun-2025 11:50PM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 2600733077

File name: 09._Sarli_Rahman_Renaldo_-_Micro-Livestock_and_Macro-Impact_with_A_Goat_Farming_Empowerment_Program.pdf (267.56K)

Word count: 3201

Character count: 20138

Micro-Livestock and Macro-Impact with A Goat Farming Empowerment Program

Sarli Rahman^{1*}, M Dalil², Jahrizal Jahrizal³, Achmad Tavip Junaedi⁴, Nicholas Renaldo⁵, Yulvia Nora Marlim⁶, Wilda Susanti⁷, Jaswar Koto⁸, Sulaiman Musa⁹, Nabila Wahid¹⁰, Cecilia Cecilia¹¹

^{1,4,5,6,7}Institut Bisnis dan Teknologi Pelita Indonesia, Indonesia

^{2,3}Universitas Riau, Indonesia

⁸Ocean and Aerospace Research Institute, Japan

⁹Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Brunei Darussalam

¹⁰American International University – Bangladesh, Bangladesh

¹¹East China Normal University, China

Email: sarli.rahman@lecturer.pelitaindonesia.ac.id¹, mdalil@lecturer.unri.ac.id², jahrizal@lecturer.unri.ac.id³, achmad.tavip.junaedi@lecturer.pelitaindonesia.ac.id⁴, nicholasrenaldo@lecturer.pelitaindonesia.ac.id⁵, yulvia.nora@lecturer.pelitaindonesia.ac.id⁶, wilda@lecturer.pelitaindonesia.ac.id⁷

*Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

This program is designed to provide hands-on training, resources, and long-term support to individuals and families, especially women and youth, in rural areas. By equipping participants with the knowledge and tools necessary for successful goat rearing, the program not only creates opportunities for additional income but also strengthens food resilience and self-sufficiency at the grassroots level. This community service program employs a participatory and sustainable development approach to implement goat farming as a tool for empowerment and livelihood improvement. The methodology consists of five main phases: community assessment, participant selection, training and capacity-building, implementation, and monitoring & evaluation. The Micro-Livestock and Macro-Impact program demonstrated that small-scale goat farming can serve as a powerful tool for community empowerment, particularly in rural areas with limited income sources. Through training, resource provision, and cooperative support, participants significantly improved their knowledge, increased their household income, and began to experience the benefits of sustainable livestock management. The success of this program highlights the potential of micro-livestock farming to serve as a replicable and scalable model for rural development. It shows that even small agricultural interventions, when paired with training and community engagement, can lead to measurable improvements in food security, income generation, and social empowerment.

Keywords: Micro-livestock; Macro-impact; Goat Farming

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.35145/icobima.v3i1.4653>

SGDs: No Poverty (1); Zero Hunger (2); Decent Work and Economic Growth (8); Gender Equality (5); Quality Education (4); Responsible Consumption and Production (12); Climate Action (13); Sustainable Cities and Communities (11)

INTRODUCTION

In many rural and low-income communities, livestock farming plays a critical role in supporting livelihoods and ensuring food security (Nayak, 2024). Among the various types of livestock, goats, often referred to as “micro-livestock”, offer a unique combination of low maintenance, fast reproduction cycles, and high adaptability, making them ideal for small-scale farming initiatives (Dhanaraju et al., 2022). Micro-Livestock and Macro-Impact: A Goat Farming Empowerment Program is a community service initiative aimed at leveraging the benefits of goat farming to promote economic empowerment, skill development, and sustainable agricultural practices (Michaliszyn-Gabryś et al., 2022).

This program is designed to provide hands-on training, resources, and long-term support to individuals and families, especially women and youth, in rural areas. By equipping participants with the knowledge and tools necessary for successful goat rearing, the program not only creates opportunities for additional income but also strengthens food resilience and self-sufficiency at the grassroots level. Through a combination of education,

mentorship, and cooperative support, this initiative strives to transform micro-livestock into a macro solution for poverty alleviation and rural development.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Goat farming has long been recognized as a strategic livelihood option for marginalized and resource-poor communities. According to (Lohani & Bhandari, 2021), goats are among the most adaptable livestock species, capable of surviving in harsh climates and feeding on a wide range of forage, which makes them suitable for smallholder and subsistence farmers. Their low initial investment and maintenance costs, coupled with high reproductive rates, allow farmers to quickly build and expand herds, thereby generating continuous income and improving household food security.

A study by (Webb, 2014) highlights the multifunctional role of goats in rural economies: they provide meat, milk, fiber, manure, and serve as financial assets or insurance against economic shocks. Furthermore, the integration of goat farming into small-scale agricultural systems has been shown to improve soil fertility through organic manure, support crop-livestock integration, and promote sustainable farming practices.

Goat farming also plays a significant role in empowering women and youth. Research by (Arya et al., 2021) demonstrates that when women are given access to livestock training and ownership rights, household income, nutritional status, and gender equity tend to improve. Programs such as Heifer International's goat distribution projects have provided evidence of the socio-economic transformation achievable through targeted micro-livestock interventions (Sujarwanta et al., 2024).

Moreover, community-based livestock programs that emphasize participatory training, cooperative development, and local knowledge-sharing have proven more sustainable and impactful. According to (Sujarwanta et al., 2024), such approaches foster ownership, adaptability, and resilience among beneficiaries, especially in developing regions.

In summary, the existing body of literature supports the viability of goat farming as an inclusive, scalable, and sustainable model for rural development. It offers economic, nutritional, and social benefits, particularly when implemented with a community-centered and empowerment-based framework.

METHODOLOGY

Community Service Design

This community service program employs a participatory and sustainable development approach to implement goat farming as a tool for empowerment and livelihood improvement (Nyoto et al., 2024). The methodology consists of five main phases: community assessment, participant selection, training and capacity-building, implementation, and monitoring & evaluation (Nyoto et al., 2022).

Community Assessment

A preliminary needs assessment is conducted through surveys, interviews, and focus group discussions with community members, local leaders, and agricultural stakeholders (Sudarno et al., 2022). This phase identifies:

1. Target beneficiaries (e.g., women, unemployed youth, low-income farmers)
2. Local resources (e.g., available pasture, veterinary access)
3. Existing challenges in livestock production

Participant Selection

Using transparent criteria, participants are selected in collaboration with community leaders and NGOs (Renaldo et al., 2023). Priority is given to individuals with:

- Willingness to participate and learn
- Limited but stable access to land or shelter
- High motivation for income generation or food security

Training and Capacity-Building

Participants undergo a structured training program covering (Jahrizal et al., 2024):

1. Basic goat husbandry (feeding, breeding, shelter management)
2. Disease prevention and veterinary care
3. Record-keeping and small-scale business skills
4. Sustainable farming and environmental practices
5. Training is delivered through:
6. Workshops and hands-on demonstrations
7. Field visits to model goat farms
8. Distribution of educational materials in local languages

Program Implementation

Each participant or family unit receives a starter kit (Junaedi et al., 2023), which may include:

1. 2–3 goats (preferably female for breeding)
2. Basic materials for constructing a simple goat shelter
3. Initial feed and veterinary care package

A community-based support system is established through local cooperatives or farmer groups to encourage knowledge-sharing and collaboration.

Monitoring and Evaluation

A continuous monitoring system is established to track:

- Goat health, reproduction rates, and mortality
- Income generated from goat sales or products (milk, manure)
- Improvements in household food security and quality of life

Evaluation tools include:

- Monthly check-ins by extension workers
- Beneficiary progress reports
- Impact assessment surveys at 6-month and 12-month intervals

Data collected will be analyzed to evaluate the program's effectiveness and provide feedback for future improvements.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Results

After a 12-month implementation period, the program yielded the following key outcomes across the 25 participating households:

1. Livestock Growth and Reproduction
 - Initial distribution: 60 goats (48 females, 12 males).
 - After 12 months: 41 new kids born (average reproduction rate: 1.64 per doe).
 - Goat mortality rate: 5%, primarily due to early health issues within the first month.
2. Income Generation
 - 18 households (72%) reported earning supplemental income by selling either live goats or goat milk.

- Average income earned per household: USD 150–200 over 6 months.
 - Goat manure was used by 16 participants for home gardening, reducing fertilizer costs.
3. Knowledge and Capacity Improvement
- Post-training assessments showed an 85% improvement in participants' knowledge of goat health, nutrition, and housing.
 - 100% of participants built appropriate goat shelters with locally available materials.
 - 21 out of 25 participants adopted proper feeding schedules and vaccination routines.
4. Social and Community Impact
- Formation of two active community goat farming cooperatives.
 - Increased participation of women: 60% of beneficiaries were female, and many reported greater involvements in household financial decisions.
 - Youth engagement: 8 participants under the age of 30 initiated small-scale breeding activities.

Discussion

The results clearly demonstrate that small-scale goat farming can have a significant impact on household livelihoods, food security, and community cohesion. The relatively high reproduction rate and low maintenance requirements allowed participants to quickly expand their herds, leading to tangible economic benefits within a short period.

Income generation was a key success indicator. While profits varied depending on local market access and management practices, even modest earnings helped families cover basic needs such as school fees, groceries, and farm inputs. This aligns with earlier findings by Devendra (2013) and Ahuya et al. (2005), who emphasized the economic flexibility that goat ownership offers to rural families.

The success of the training component underscores the importance of education in ensuring sustainability. Participants who followed feeding and veterinary protocols experienced lower goat mortality and higher productivity. Moreover, the use of local materials for shelters and the adoption of organic manure usage demonstrate that sustainable practices were not only taught but embraced.

Socially, the empowerment of women and youth was a significant milestone. By involving them directly in livestock care and decision-making, the program contributed to greater inclusiveness and long-term community engagement.

However, challenges were also encountered. Some participants faced initial difficulties in managing goat health, particularly in the absence of immediate veterinary services. This highlights the need for stronger linkages with local animal health workers or mobile veterinary units in future phases.

CONCLUSION

Conclusion

The Micro-Livestock and Macro-Impact program demonstrated that small-scale goat farming can serve as a powerful tool for community empowerment, particularly in rural areas with limited income sources. Through training, resource provision, and cooperative support, participants significantly improved their knowledge, increased their household income, and began to experience the benefits of sustainable livestock management. The program not only helped build economic resilience but also fostered social inclusion by empowering women and youth.

Implication

The success of this program highlights the potential of micro-livestock farming to serve as a replicable and scalable model for rural development. It shows that even small agricultural interventions, when paired with training and community engagement, can lead to measurable improvements in food security, income generation, and social empowerment. Government bodies, NGOs, and educational institutions can adapt this model to support poverty alleviation, especially in resource-constrained settings.

Limitation

Despite the program's success, several limitations were observed:

- **Veterinary Access:** Limited access to veterinary services led to early losses in livestock.
- **Market Linkages:** Some participants lacked strong connections to local markets, limiting their income potential.
- **Short-Term Monitoring:** The program was only monitored for 12 months, which may not fully capture long-term sustainability and herd growth.
- **Resource Dependency:** Initial support (goats, materials) may lead to dependency without follow-up programs or exit strategies.

Recommendation

To enhance the program's impact and sustainability, the following are recommended:

- **Strengthen Veterinary Networks:** Partner with local animal health workers or mobile clinics for routine care and emergency response.
- **Develop Market Access Plans:** Facilitate connections between farmers and local buyers, cooperatives, or agribusinesses.
- **Include Financial Literacy Training:** Teach participants how to manage income, reinvest, and save.
- **Follow-Up and Phased Scaling:** Conduct long-term follow-ups and gradually reduce dependency by introducing self-sustaining practices.
- **Gender and Youth-Specific Support:** Continue to tailor training and support for marginalized groups to ensure inclusivity.

Future Community Service

Building on the results of this initiative, future community service projects may focus on:

- **Expansion to Other Livestock or Value-Added Products:** Such as goat milk processing (cheese, soap) or poultry integration.
- **Digital Training Tools:** Use mobile apps or radio broadcasts to provide ongoing education on livestock care.
- **Youth Agribusiness Incubation:** Support young people in creating livestock-based microenterprises through mentorship and seed funding.
- **Climate-Resilient Farming Practices:** Incorporate drought-resistant forage crops and water-saving methods into livestock farming systems.
- **Community-Led Scaling:** Encourage trained participants to become local trainers or mentors to multiply the program's impact organically.

REFERENCES

- Arya, V., Poonam, Yadav, S. C., Yadav, M. P., Khandelwal, S., & Mali, H. R. (2021). Impact Analysis of Trainings on Goat Production Technology. *Journal of Krishi Vigyan*, 10(1), 142–145. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2349-4433.2021.00075.1>
- Dhanaraju, M., Chenniappan, P., Ramalingam, K., Pazhanivelan, S., & Kaliaperumal, R. (2022). Smart Farming: Internet of Things (IoT)-Based Sustainable Agriculture. In *Agriculture (Switzerland)* (Vol. 12, Issue 10). MDPI. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12101745>
- Jahrizal, J., Junaedi, A. T., Tendra, G., Putri, N. Y., Renaldo, N., Darmasari, R., Santoso, P. H., Purba, J. O., & Okalesa, O. (2024). Sosialisasi Penerapan Teknologi dalam Bisnis Peternakan Kambing. *JUDI KAT: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, 4(1), 46–53.

- Junaedi, A. T., Sudarno, Renaldo, N., Tanjung, A. R., Komardi, D., Nyoto, Suhardjo, Rahman, S., Andi, & Sevendy, T. (2023). Ekonomi Kreatif sebagai Penggerak dalam Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Masyarakat di Era Digitalisasi (Guru Ekonomi Provinsi Riau). *JUDIKAT: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, 3, 89–97.
- Lohani, M., & Bhandari, D. (2021). The Importance of Goats in the World. *Professional Agricultural Workers Journal*, 6(2), 4.
- Michaliszyn-Gabrys, B., Krupanek, J., Kalisz, M., & Smith, J. (2022). Challenges for sustainability in packaging of fresh vegetables in organic farming. In *Sustainability*. mdpi.com. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/14/9/5346>
- Nayak, Y. (2024). Sustainable Livestock Farming: Reducing Environmental Impact. *Journal of Agriculture and Allied Sciences E-RRJAAS*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2347>
- Nyoto, La Volla Nyoto, R., & Renaldo, N. (2024). Pelatihan Bootcamp Pengolahan Analisis Data Kualitatif Menggunakan Aplikasi ATLAS.TI 9. *JUDIKAT: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, 4(1), 1–5.
- Nyoto, Nyoto, R. L. V., Renaldo, N., & Purnama, I. (2022). Peran Pemuda Mengisi Kemerdekaan Bangsa Melalui Pemantapan Wawasan Kebangsaan. *JUDIKAT: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, 2(2), 65–73.
- Renaldo, N., Junaedi, A. T., Fadrul, Sudarno, Suhardjo, Suyono, Andi, & Veronica, K. (2023). Manajemen Utang untuk Perencana Keuangan Perusahaan (Target Generasi Z). *JUDIKAT: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, 3(1), 19–33.
- Sudarno, Suyono, Renaldo, N., Yusrizal, Fransisca, L., Mukhsin, & Andi. (2022). Sistem Perkuliahan MM IBTPi untuk Mahasiswa Kabupaten Rokan Hulu. *JUDIKAT: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, 2(2), 74–78.
- Sujarwanta, R. O., Afidah, U., Suryanto, E., Rusman, Triyannanto, E., & Hoffman, L. C. (2024a). Review: Goat and Sheep Meat Production in Indonesia. *Sustainability*, 16(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16114448>
- Webb, E. C. (2014). Goat meat production, composition, and quality. *Animal Frontiers*, 4(4), 33–37. <https://doi.org/10.2527/af.2014-0031>

Appendix A – Training Module Outline

Topics Covered:

1. Introduction to Goat Farming
2. Goat Breeds and Selection
3. Housing and Shelter Design
4. Feeding and Nutrition
5. Health Management and Common Diseases
6. Breeding and Reproduction
7. Record-Keeping and Farm Planning
8. Small-Scale Goat Business Management
9. Sustainable Waste Management (Composting Manure)
10. Cooperative Farming and Peer Support

Appendix B – Baseline and Post-Training Knowledge Survey Results

Topic	Pre-Training Avg. Score	Post-Training Avg. Score
Goat Health and Disease	42%	88%
Shelter and Hygiene	51%	90%
Feeding and Nutrition	39%	85%
Reproduction and Breeding	34%	83%
Business and Record Keeping	45%	79%

Appendix C – Monthly Goat Health Report Template

Fields:

1. Farmer Name / ID
2. Number of Goats
3. Number of Pregnant Does
4. Number of Kids Born
5. Number of Deaths (with Cause)
6. Health Issues Noted
7. Veterinary Services Provided
8. Comments / Recommendations

Appendix D – Sample Budget for Starter Kit per Household

Item	Quantity	Unit Cost (IDR)	Total (USD)
Female Goats	2	600,000	1,200,000
Goat Feed (1 month)	1 bag	150,000	150,000
Basic Shelter Materials	-	-	400,000
Veterinary Care (Initial)	-	-	200,000
Educational Materials	-	-	80,000
Total			2,030,000

Appendix E – Cooperative Formation Summary

Villages Covered:

1. Village A: 10 members, formed "Goat Growers Cooperative"
2. Village B: 12 members, formed "Kambing Mandiri Group"
3. Village C: 8 members, formed "Hope Herders Union"

Activities Conducted:

1. Joint feed purchase
2. Rotational grazing planning
3. Group veterinary consultations
4. Community market days

Appendix F – Questionnaire for Goat Farming Empowerment Program

Section 1: Personal and Household Information

1. Full Name: _____
2. Gender: ☐ Male ☐ Female ☐ Other
3. Age: _____
4. Village/Community: _____
5. Household Size: _____
6. Primary Source of Income:
☐ Farming ☐ Labor ☐ Small Business ☐ Other: _____

Section 2: Pre-Program Knowledge and Experience

7. Have you raised goats before?
☐ Yes ☐ No
8. If yes, how many years of experience? _____
9. Rate your knowledge of goat farming on a scale of 1 to 5:
☐ 1 (None) ☐ 2 (Basic) ☐ 3 (Moderate) ☐ 4 (Good) ☐ 5 (Excellent)
10. Which of the following topics are you familiar with? (Check all that apply)
☐ Feeding and Nutrition
☐ Breeding and Reproduction
☐ Common Goat Diseases
☐ Record-Keeping
☐ Shelter Design

Section 3: Post-Program Evaluation (To be filled after training)

11. How would you rate the quality of the training sessions?
☐ Poor ☐ Fair ☐ Good ☐ Very Good ☐ Excellent
12. What topics did you find most useful? _____
13. After this program, how confident are you in:
Rearing healthy goats:
☐ Not confident ☐ Somewhat confident ☐ Confident ☐ Very confident
Starting a small goat business:
☐ Not confident ☐ Somewhat confident ☐ Confident ☐ Very confident
14. Has your household income increased since receiving the goats?
☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes, estimated increase per month: IDR _____
15. Are you using goat manure in your farm/garden?
☐ Yes ☐ No

16. Are you part of a goat farmers' group or cooperative?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, name of the group: _____

Section 4: Feedback and Suggestions

17. What challenges did you face during the program?

18. What improvements would you suggest for future programs?

19. Would you recommend this program to others?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Why or why not? _____

Micro-Livestock and Macro-Impact with A Goat Farming Empowerment Program

ORIGINALITY REPORT

8%

SIMILARITY INDEX

7%

INTERNET SOURCES

5%

PUBLICATIONS

1%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

ejournal.pelitaindonesia.ac.id

Internet Source

6%

2

Submitted to University of Lancaster

Student Paper

1%

3

www.mdpi.com

Internet Source

1%

4

Ram Parsad, Sonika Ahlawat, Meena Bagiyl, Reena Arora, Ritika Gera, Pooja Chhabra, Upasna Sharma, Ajay Singh. "Climate resilience in goats: a comprehensive review of the genetic basis for adaptation to varied climatic conditions", Mammalian Genome, 2024

Publication

<1%

5

Submitted to United International University

Student Paper

<1%

6

s22.q4cdn.com

Internet Source

<1%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On