The Impact of Audit Reputation on Stakeholder Trust: A Qualitative Analysis of Key Influencing Factors

by - -

Proceeding of International Conference on Business Management and Accounting (ICOBIMA)
Volume 2, No. 2, May 2024, page 368-372
Online ISSN: 2988-5590 Print ISSN: 3021-7563

The Impact of Audit Reputation on Stakeholder Trust: A Qualitative Analysis of Key Influencing Factors

Syukri Hadi[1*], Novita Yulia Putri[2], Andi Andi[3], Nicholas Renaldo[4], Muhammad Pringgo Prayetno[5]

Institut Bisnis dan Teknologi Pelita Indonesia, Indonesia[1,2,3,4], Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia[5]

Email: syukri.hadi@lecturer.pelitaindonesia.ac.id[1]

*Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

Audit reputation is a vital component of the financial reporting ecosystem, significantly influencing stakeholder trust and confidence in audited financial statements. This qualitative study explores the multifaceted nature of audit reputation, examining how it is shaped by factors such as audit quality, ethical behavior, auditor independence, crisis management, and firm size. Through a case study approach involving in-depth interviews with key stakeholders and document analysis, the research provides insights into how audit reputation is perceived and managed within the industry. The findings highlight the critical importance of maintaining a strong audit reputation for the success and sustainability of audit firms. The study concludes with practical recommendations for audit firms to enhance their reputation, ensuring continued stakeholder trust and confidence.

Keywords: Audit Reputation, Stakeholder Trust, Audit Quality, Ethical Standards, Crisis Management

DOI: https://doi.org/10.35145/icobima.v2i2.4390

INTRODUCTION

Audit reputation is a critical factor in the financial reporting ecosystem, influencing stakeholders' trust in audited financial statements (Irman et al., 2021; Irman & Suryati, 2017). A robust audit reputation not only enhances the credibility of an auditing firm but also strengthens the confidence of investors, regulators, and the general public in the financial information provided by a company. The significance of audit reputation extends beyond individual firms, affecting the broader financial markets and economic stability (Junaedi et al., 2023). In an era where corporate scandals and financial misstatements have significantly impacted market stability, the reputation of an audit firm becomes even more pivotal. High-profile cases such as Enron, WorldCom, and more recent financial crises have underscored the devastating consequences of compromised audit integrity, leading to widespread distrust among stakeholders and a ripple effect across global markets.

Moreover, audit reputation is not merely a static attribute but a dynamic construct that evolves over time (Merter & Özer, 2024; Steller & Pummerer, 2021; Sulimany, 2024). It is shaped by consistent performance, adherence to ethical standards, and the ability to adapt to changing regulatory environments and stakeholder expectations. The audit firm's reputation is continuously scrutinized by a range of stakeholders, including clients, investors, regulators, and even the media. This ongoing evaluation means that any misstep, whether related to audit quality, ethical conduct, or crisis management, can rapidly erode years of carefully built reputation.

In addition to external perceptions, the internal culture of the audit firm plays a crucial role in shaping its reputation (Hutabarat, 2024). A strong internal culture that prioritizes ethical behavior, continuous professional development, and a commitment to audit excellence is essential for sustaining a positive reputation. Conversely, a toxic culture that overlooks ethical breaches or prioritizes profits over audit quality can lead to reputational damage that is difficult to repair (Sudarno et al., 2024).

This article delves into the qualitative aspects of audit reputation, exploring the factors that contribute to it, how it is perceived by various stakeholders, and the implications for the auditing profession. By examining these elements, the article aims to provide insights into how audit firms can strategically manage and enhance their reputation in a complex and ever-evolving business environment. Understanding the intricate relationship between audit practices, stakeholder perceptions, and reputation is essential for firms seeking to navigate the challenges of the modern financial landscape while maintaining the trust and confidence of their stakeholders.

Proceeding of International Conference on Business Management and Accounting (ICOBIMA)
Volume 2, No. 2, May 2024, page 368-372
Online ISSN: 2988-5590 Print ISSN: 3021-7563

LITERATURE REVIEW

Audit Reputation: Definition and Importance

Audit reputation refers to the perceived reliability, integrity, and competence of an audit firm, as judged by stakeholders such as investors, clients, regulators, and the public. It is built over time through consistent delivery of high-quality audits and adherence to ethical standards. DeAngelo (1981) posits that audit reputation is a function of audit quality, where high-quality audits enhance the firm's reputation, thereby increasing its market share and profitability (Anton et al., 2023; Saitri et al., 2023; Suhardjo et al., 2023).

Factors Influencing Audit Reputation

Several factors influence audit reputation, including audit quality, ethical behavior, auditor independence, and firm size. Audit quality is often measured by the accuracy of financial statement audits, while ethical behavior and auditor independence ensure that auditors remain impartial and free from conflicts of interest. The size of the audit firm, often reflected in the Big Four versus non-Big Four debate, also plays a role, with larger firms generally enjoying a stronger reputation due to their global presence and extensive resources (Putri & Cahyonowati, 2014).

Stakeholder Perceptions

Stakeholders' perceptions of audit reputation are shaped by various factors, including past performance, public relations efforts, and the firm's response to crises. Research by Knechel, Krishnan, Pevzner, Shefchik, and Velury (2013) highlights that stakeholders place significant value on the audit firm's historical performance and its ability to uphold its reputation during times of financial distress or corporate scandals (Hutchinson & Bennett, 2012; Kim & Morris, 2003; Wati et al., 2023).

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This qualitative study utilized a case study approach to explore the audit reputation of a selected audit firm. The research involved in-depth interviews with key stakeholders, including clients, regulators, and investors, to gather their perceptions and experiences with the audit firm. Additionally, document analysis was conducted to examine public records, media reports, and the firm's own communications to understand how audit reputation is managed and perceived in the public domain (Sekaran & Bougie, 2016).

Sample Selection

The study focused on a leading audit firm with a global presence, chosen for its well-established reputation in the industry. A purposive sampling technique was used to select interview participants who had direct experience with the audit firm, ensuring that the insights gathered were relevant and reflective of the firm's reputation.

Data Collection

Data was collected through semi-structured interviews conducted over a three-month period. Interviews were recorded and transcribed for analysis. Document analysis involved reviewing press releases, audit reports, and media articles related to the firm. Thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring themes and patterns in the data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Perceptions of Audit Quality

The findings revealed that stakeholders overwhelmingly associate audit reputation with the quality of the audit services provided. High audit quality was consistently linked to thoroughness, accuracy, and the ability to identify and address financial misstatements. Participants emphasized that firms known for delivering high-quality audits enjoy a strong reputation and greater client loyalty (Abdullatif, 2016; Ahn et al., 2020; Fadrul et al., 2024; Kurniasih et al., 2017; Lebert et al., 2020; Oyewo, 2021; Waluyo, 2017).

Ethical Behavior and Independence

Ethical conduct and auditor independence were highlighted as crucial components of audit reputation.

Proceeding of International Conference on Business Management and Accounting (ICOBIMA)
Volume 2, No. 2, May 2024, page 368-372
Online ISSN: 2988-5590 Print ISSN: 3021-7563

Stakeholders expressed that any perceived or actual compromise in independence could severely damage an audit firm's reputation. For instance, instances where audit firms were involved in financial scandals were cited as detrimental to their reputation, leading to a loss of trust among clients and the public (Nyoto et al., 2021; Panjaitan et al., 2022; Renaldo et al., 2020, 2021).

Crisis Management and Reputation

The study found that an audit firm's response to crises plays a significant role in shaping its reputation. Firms that effectively managed crises, such as financial restatements or legal challenges, and communicated transparently with stakeholders were able to maintain or even enhance their reputation. Conversely, poor crisis management led to long-term reputational damage (Almas, 2018; Putriyanti & Chayati, 2020; Shaharuddin et al., 2017).

Role of Firm Size

The study also explored the impact of firm size on audit reputation (Fadrul et al., 2024; Renaldo et al., 2021; Renaldo, Rozalia, et al., 2023; Renaldo, Sudarno, et al., 2023; Saitri et al., 2023). Larger firms were generally perceived to have a stronger reputation due to their extensive resources, global reach, and ability to attract top talent. However, participants noted that smaller firms could also build a strong reputation by specializing in niche markets and maintaining high ethical standards.

CLOSING

Conclusion

Audit reputation is a multifaceted concept influenced by audit quality, ethical behavior, auditor independence, crisis management, and firm size. This qualitative study underscores the importance of these factors in shaping stakeholder perceptions and highlights the critical role of reputation in the success and sustainability of audit firms. The findings suggest that maintaining a strong audit reputation requires continuous effort in delivering high-quality audits, upholding ethical standards, and effectively managing crises.

Recommendations

1. Focus on Audit Quality: Audit firms should prioritize the accuracy and thoroughness of their audits to maintain and enhance their reputation.
2. Strengthen Ethical Standards: Firms must enforce strict ethical guidelines to ensure auditor independence and prevent conflicts of interest.
3. Effective Crisis Management: Developing robust crisis management strategies and maintaining transparent communication with stakeholders are essential for preserving reputation during challenging times.
4. Leverage Firm Size: Larger firms should capitalize on their resources to maintain their reputation, while smaller firms can build a strong reputation by specializing in specific industries and maintaining high standards.
5. Continuous Stakeholder Engagement: Regular engagement with stakeholders through surveys, feedback mechanisms, and public relations efforts can help audit firms monitor and manage their reputation effectively.

REFERENCES

Abdullatif, M. (2016). Auditing Fair Value Estimates in Developing Countries: The Case of Jordan. *Asian Journal of Business and Accounting*, *9*(2), 101–140.

Ahn, J., Hoitash, R., & Hoitash, U. (2020). Auditor task-specific expertise: The case of fair value accounting. *Accounting Review*, *95*(3), 1–32. https://doi.org/10.2308/ACCR-52599

Almas, A. F. (2018). Sumbangan Paradigma Thomas S. Kuhn dalam Ilmu dan Pendidikan (Penerapan Metode Problem Based Learning dan Discovery Learning). *At-Tarbawi: Jurnal Kajian Kependidikan Islam*, *3*(1), 89–106. https://doi.org/10.22515/attarbawi.v3i1.1147

Anton, Fernando, E., Estu, A. Z., Eddy, P., & Andi. (2023). Financial Report Fraud Determinants (Banking and

Insurance Sub-Sector Companies on the Indonesia Stock Exchange 2017-2021). *Nexus Synergy: A Business Perspective*, *1*(1), 32–50. https://firstcierapublisher.com/index.php/nexus/article/view/12

Fadrul, Yang, A., Rahman, S., Renaldo, N., & Suharti. (2024). Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance dan Karakteristik Perusahaan terhadap Manajemen Laba. *EKOMA: Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, Akuntansi*, *3*(2), 907–919. https://doi.org/10.34208/ejatsm.v2i4.1842

Hutabarat, L. E. (2024). Analysis of the Internal Control System for Receivables at CV. Putra Riau Mandiri. *Luxury: Landscape of Business Administration*, *2*(1), 1–25. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.61230/luxury.v2i1.65

Hutchinson, M., & Bennett, G. (2012). Core values brand building in sport: Stakeholder attitudes towards intercollegiate athletics and university brand congruency. *Sport Management Review*, *15*(4), 434–447. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smr.2012.02.001

Irman, M., Suhendra, E. A., & Diana, H. (2021). Work Experience, Professionalism, Independence and the Application of Information Technology on Auditor Performance in Order to Increasing Audit Quality at the Financial Audit Agency of the Republic Indonesia Representative of the Riau Province. *Journal of Applied Business and Technology*, *2*(3), 206–222. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35145/jabt.v2i3.78

Irman, M., & Suryati. (2017). Pengaruh Kelemahan Sistem Pengendalian Internal, Ketidakpatuhan pada Perundang-undangan, Opini Tahun Sebelumnya dan Umur Pemerintah Daerah terhadap Opini Audit di Kabupaten/Kota Provinsi Riau Tahun 2011-2014. *Kurs: Jurnal Akuntansi, Kewirausahaan Dan Bisnis*, *2*(2), 197–209. http://www.ejournal.pelitaindonesia.ac.id/ojs32/index.php/KURS/article/view/203

Junaedi, A. T., Sudarno, Renaldo, N., Tanjung, A. R., Komardi, D., Nyoto, Suhardjo, Rahman, S., Andi, & Sevendy, T. (2023). Ekonomi Kreatif sebagai Penggerak dalam Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Masyarakat di Era Digitalisasi (Guru Ekonomi Provinsi Riau). *JUDIKAT: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, *3*(2), 89–97. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35145/judikat.v3i2.3952

Kim, J., & Morris, J. D. (2003). The Effect of Advertising on the Market Value of Firms: Empirical Evidence from the Super Bowl ads. *Journal of Targeting, Measurement and Analysis for Marketing*, *12*(1), 53–65. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jt.5740098

Kurniasih, L., Sulardi, & Suranta, S. (2017). Earnings Management, Corporate Governance and Tax Avoidance: The Case in Indonesia. *Journal of Finance and Banking Review*, *2*(4), 28–35. www.gatrenterprise.com/GATRJournals/index.html

Lebert, S., Mohrmann, U., & Stefani, U. (2020). Rounding up performance measures in German firms: Earnings cosmetics or earnings management on a larger scale? *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, *December 2018*, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbfa.12494

Merter, A. K., & Özer, G. (2024). Audit Committee and Timely Reporting: Evidence From Turkey. *SAGE Open*, *14*(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241239516

Nyoto, Renaldo, N., Karuppannan, G., Bhuiyan, A. B., & Kumarasamy, M. M. (2021). The Determinance of the Financial Behavior among Graduate Students in Indonesia. *Australian Finance & Banking Review*, *5*(1), 29–42. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.46281/afbr.v5i1.1009

Oyewo, B. (2021). Diffusion of fair value measurement (IFRS 13): Perception of auditors. *Contaduria y Administracion*, *66*(2), 1–33. https://doi.org/10.22201/fca.24488410e.2021.2178

Panjaitan, H. P., Renaldo, N., & Suyono. (2022). The Influence of Financial Knowledge on Financial Behavior and Financial Satisfaction on Pelita Indonesia Students. *Jurnal Manajemen Indonesia*, *22*(2), 145–153. https://doi.org/10.25124/jmi.v22i1.4289

Putri, T. M., & Cahyonowati, N. (2014). Pengaruh Tenure Audit, Rotasi Audit, Audit Fee Terhadap Kualitas Audit dengan Komite Audit Sebagai Variabel Moderasi (Studi pada Perusahaan Sektor Keuangan yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2010-2014). *Diponegoro Journal of Accounting*, *3*(2), 1–11.

Putriyanti, N., & Chayati, A. D. (2020). Effect of Pressure, Opportunity, Rationalizations, Capability and Arrogance in The Concept of The Fraud Pentagon. *JOURNAL OF AUDITING, FINANCE, AND FORENSIC ACCOUNTING*, *8*(2), 85–95. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21107/jaffa.v8i2.8773

Renaldo, N., Andi, Nur, N. M., Junaedi, A. T., & Panjaitan, H. P. (2021). Determinants of Firm Value for Wholesale Sub-sector Companies in 2016-2019 with Behavioral Accounting Approach. *Journal of Applied*

Business and Technology, *2*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35145/jabt.v2i1.55

Renaldo, N., Rozalia, D. K., Musa, S., Wahid, N., & Cecilia. (2023). Current Ratio, Firm Size, and Return on Equity on Price Earnings Ratio with Dividend Payout Ratio as a Moderation and Firm Characteristic as Control Variable on the MNC 36 Index Period 2017-2021. *Journal of Applied Business and Technology*, *4*(3), 214–226. https://doi.org/10.35145/jabt.v4i3.136

Renaldo, N., Sudarno, Andi, Veronica, K., & Hutahuruk, M. B. (2023). Sales Volatility, Operating Cash Flow Volatility, Debt, and Firm Size on Future Earnings Persistence. *The Accounting Journal of Binaniaga*, *8*(01), 27–38. https://doi.org/10.33062/ajb.v8i01.19

Renaldo, N., Sudarno, & Hutahuruk, M. B. (2020). The Improvement of Generation Z Financial Well-being in Pekanbaru. *Jurnal Manajemen Dan Kewirausahaan*, *22*(2), 142–151. https://doi.org/10.9744/jmk.22.2.142-151

Saitri, P. W., Mendra, N. P. Y., Pramesti, I. G. A. A., & Renaldo, N. (2023). How Firm Characteristics Affect the Dividend Policy of Listed Banking Companies on The Indonesian Stock Exchange in 2019-2021. *Interconnection: An Economic Perspective Horizon*, *1*(1), 37–50. https://firstcierapublisher.com/index.php/reflection/article/view/28

Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research Method for Business A Skill-Building Approach Seventh Edition* (Seventh Ed). John Wiley & Sons. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0753-5_102084

Shaharuddin, S. S., Lau, W. Y., & Ahmad, R. (2017). Constructing Fama–French Factors from Style Indices: Evidence from the Islamic Equity Market. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2016.1278529

Steller, M., & Pummerer, E. (2021). Auditor's Income Taxation and Audit Quality. *SAGE Open*, *11*(3), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211040833

Sudarno, Hutahuruk, M. B., Prayetno, M. P., Renaldo, N., Taylor, J. A., & Harrison, E. (2024). Educational Tactics through Social Marketing: Enhancing Awareness and Community Participation in Building a Quality Education Environment. *Reflection: Education and Pedagogical Insights*, *1*(4), 203–215. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.61230/reflection.v1i4.64

Suhardjo, Renaldo, N., Sevendy, T., Rusgowanto, F. H., & Pramesti, I. G. A. A. (2023). Chi-Square and 2-Way ANOVA for Accounting Students: Analysis of Natural Gas Sales Volume by Pipeline by Customer Type in Indonesia. *Reflection: Education and Pedagogical Insights*, *1*(1), 34–39. https://firstcierapublisher.com/index.php/reflection/article/view/7

Sulimany, H. G. H. (2024). Does Institutional Ownership Moderate the Relationship Between Audit Committee Composition and Audit Report Lag: Evidence From Saudi. *SAGE Open*, *14*(2), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241241171

Waluyo. (2017). The Effect of Good Corporate Governance on Tax Avoidance: Empirical Study of the Indonesian Banking Company. *The Accounting Journal of BINANIAGA*, *02*(02), 1–10.

Wati, Y., Irman, M., Suharti, Suyono, & Renaldo, N. (2023). CSR, Good Corporate Governance, Corporate Value: Moderation of Financial Performance. *Jurnal Akuntansi Keuangan Dan Bisnis*, *16*(2), 353–362. https://doi.org/10.35143/jakb.v16i2.6064

The Impact of Audit Reputation on Stakeholder Trust: A Qualitative Analysis of Key Influencing Factors

ORIGINALITY REPORT

14%	12%	7%	0%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.ejournal.pelitaindonesia.ac.id Internet Source	4%
2	ejournal.pelitaindonesia.ac.id Internet Source	3%
3	ojs.amhinternational.com Internet Source	2%
4	"The AI Revolution: Driving Business Innovation and Research", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2024 Publication	1%
5	Jan Marton, Fredrik Nilsson, Peter Öhman. "Auditing Transformation - Regulation, Digitalisation and Sustainability", Routledge, 2023 Publication	1%
6	aseadsempozyum.org Internet Source	1%
7	core.ac.uk Internet Source	1%

8	www.gavinpublishers.com Internet Source	1 %
9	Joshua L. Gunn, Chan Li, Lin Liao, Jinxuan Yang, Shan Zhou. "Audit firms' corporate social responsibility activities and auditor reputation", Accounting, Organizations and Society, 2024 Publication	<1 %
10	Alan Kilgore, Graeme Harrison, Renee Radich. "Audit quality: what's important to users of audit services", Managerial Auditing Journal, 2014 Publication	<1 %

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		